

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/827,097 | 04/06/2001 | Nobuaki Ono | 205447US2 | 5017 |
| 22850 7. | 590 01/18/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | CHERRY, EUNCHA P | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 2872 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ., | Application No. | Applicant(s) | ' | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/827,097 | ONO ET AL. | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| • | EUNCHA P. CHERRY | 2872 | · | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence addres | ss | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application at the same of the | ation. A proper reply to h places the applicatio | o a on in | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropri unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Off | ee MPEP iate extension riate extension ice action; or | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) L they raise new issues that would require further | • | see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simp | lifying the | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed am | nendment | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> . | dered but does NOT p | lace the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were n | ewly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | l an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 10. Other: | , participal | | | | |
| | / | 1//// | | | |
| | | EUNCHA P. CHERRY | ./ , | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872 | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: at least while a series of discrete locations would provide less adjustability than infinite locations of Naiki et al, one would be motivated to choose the reduced adjustability in order to make the adjustment faster and easier by eliminating free movement of the lens. Therefore, the rejection is deemed proper.